UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

BOSTON FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK,

plaintiff,

Case No. 04-10474-GAOASS

V.

MICHELE A. DEANGELIS,
HAROLD B. MURPHY, TRUSTEE,
CHAMPION MORTGAGE CO., INC.,
SHAUN CARVELLI D/B/A ARTISTIC
INTERIOR, ELLEN R. MARCUS,
MARK T. COLLINS,
MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF
REVENUE, and INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DEPOSIT IN COURT AND TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF

The Plaintiff has brought this interpleader action following the foreclosure of real property formerly owned by Michele DeAngelis. The Plaintiff has brought this action to obtain court direction to dispose of the surplus funds following the foreclosure.

At this time, the United States opposes the Plaintiff's motion to deposit the surplus funds into court and to withdraw. The ground for this opposition is that the Plaintiff still has not submitted Proof of Service on each of the defendants.

On June 1, 2004, Plaintiff submitted a two-page document to the Clerk's Office. That document is comprised of a cover letter

to Harold B. Murphy and a summons issued to Harold Murphy. In the cover letter to Mr. Murphy, counsel for the Plaintiff states "Pursuant to our conversation today whereby you agreed to accept service of process in the above matter, enclosed please find a Complaint and Summons."

This two-page document does not qualify as proper service upon the defendant, Harold B. Murphy. Although Mr. Murphy has not responded, no party could move to default Mr. Murphy based upon what has been filed with the Court. Rule 4(d) of the Rules of Civil Procedure sets forth what is required for a waiver of service of process. Rule 4(d)(2) provides:

To avoid costs, the plaintiff may notify such a defendant of the commencement of the action and request that the defendant waive service of a summons. The notice and request

- (A) shall be in writing and shall be addressed directly to the defendant ...
- (B) shall be dispatched through first-class mail or other reliable means;
- (C) shall be accompanied by a copy of the complaint and shall identify the court in which it has been filed;
- (D) shall inform the defendant, by means of a text prescribed in an official form promulgated pursuant to Rule 84, of the consequences of compliance and of a failure to comply with the request;
- (E) shall set forth the date on which the request is sent;
- (F) shall allow the defendant a reasonable time to return the waiver, which shall be at least 30 days from the date on which the request is sent, or 60 days from that date if the defendant is addressed outside any judicial district of the United States; and
- (G) shall provide the defendant with an extra copy

of the notice and request, as well as a prepaid means of compliance in writing.

In the cover letter, there is no mention of waiver being sent to Mr. Murphy or that the Plaintiff supplied Mr. Murphy with a pre-paid means of complying. Rule 4(d) does not require defendants to waive service of process. The advisory committee notes on the 1993 Amendments to Rule 4(d) make clear that service cannot be "effected by mail without the affirmative cooperation of the defendant," and explicitly state that "transmission of the notice and waiver forms ... does not purport to effect service...." Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(d) advisory committee's note.¹ The advisory committee notes further provide that unless there is ample time in which to effect service, the plaintiff should proceed directly to the formal methods of service. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(d) Advisory Committee Note.

There is nothing on file with the Court that Harold Murphy has waived service of process. This is important because if the Plaintiff is permitted to withdraw from the case without serving all of the defendants, the remaining defendants will be prejudiced in attempting to resolve this case. The Plaintiff should not be permitted to withdraw all named parties have been served with process or waived that process.

As a further note, the Plaintiff has not requested that a

 $^{^{\}rm l}$ It does not appear that Plaintiff even provided the defendant with a waiver form.

single party be defaulted who has failed to answer or otherwise respond.

The United States noted in its previous (two-page) opposition to the Plaintiff's original motion to deposit funds into that the counsel fees of \$3,479.21 appeared to be higher than the regular counsel fees for other interpleader plaintiffs.

Following the United States' opposition to the Plaintiff's motion to deposit, Plaintiff's counsel has incurred another 15.4 hours on this case and incurred additional legal fees and costs of \$2,916.62 for a current claimed amount of \$6,395.83. This claimed amount appears excessive, especially considering that not all of the parties have served with process.

MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN United States Attorney

STEPHEN U. TURANCHIK

Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 55
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

Telephone: (202) 307-6565 stephen.j.turanchik@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that service of the foregoing United States' Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Deposit in Court and To Dismiss Plaintiff has been made upon the following by depositing a copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid, this 30th day of July, 2004:

Michele DeAngelis c/o David C. Levin, Esq. 875 Southern Artery Quincy, MA 02169

Walter B. Sullivan 80 Washington Square, Suite 7 Norwell, MA 02061

Harold B. Murphy, Trustee Hanify & King, P.C. One Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108-3107

Ellen R. Marcus 110 Clark Road Brookline, MA 02446 Adam J. Ruttenberg, Esq. Looney & Grossman, LLP 101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110

Mark T. Collins 329D Boston Post Road Sudbury, MA 01776

Edward P. McCarthy, Esq. Rosen & McCarthy, LLP 4 Whittier Road Natick, MA 01760

STEPHEN J. TURANCHIK

Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Post Office Box 55
Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 307-6565